

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasotra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/653,039 | 08/29/2003 | Ian M. Bennett | PHO 99004CIP | 1543 |
| 23694 7590 J. NICHOLAS GROSS, ATTORNEY | | | EXAMINER | |
| 2030 ADDISON ST. | | | LERNER, MARTIN | |
| SUITE 610 BERKELEY. | CA 94704 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 2626 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/26/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/653,039 | BENNETT, IAN M. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| MARTIN LERNER | 2626 | |

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 02 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. \(\times\) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other eighnee, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailting date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(d).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ____ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

<u>AMENDMENTS</u>

- The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

 (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for speak and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

- 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
 6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
- non-allowable claim(s).
 7. ⊠ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: ____.
Claim(s) rejected: 1 to 15 and 22 to 34.

Claim(s) rejected: <u>I to 15 and 22 to 34.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 OFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons wity it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
- Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s), 12/09/08 and 02/19/09
- 13. X Other: See Continuation Sheet.

/Martin Lerner/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2626

Continuation of 3 NOTE:

Applicant presents numerous new arguments after final rejection that require further consideration. However, it is maintained that the rejection is fundamentally correct because the two references are from the same or analogous field of endeaver, are relating to natural language search and retrieval, and that a formal motivation is presented for the combination: to improve the accuracy of keyword-based natural language document searching. There is nothing that requires an obviousness rejection to provide one reference as a primary reference, as the references may simply present complementary teachings. Applicant has not identified any feature of the claims that is not disclosed by either of the two references, and it is maintained that the final rejection shows how all the features of the claims are disclosed by the two prior art references. Basically, Crespo et al. (179 docses natural language search and retrieval for speech recognition, specifically, and Braden-Harder et al. (822) teaches how to improve natural language search and retrieval cenerally.

Continuation of 13 Other:

Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement filed 02/19/2009 cannot be considered because it is not accompanied by a fee and statement as required by 37 CFR 1.97(d).